



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
vide No. APIC-98/2020

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Shri Bengia Sagung
PO/PS Doimukh,
Papumpare District
Arunachal Pradesh Appellant

-VERSUS -

Shri Nakab Hina Nabam
PIO-cum-DLRSO
Papumpare District, Yupia,
Arunachal Pradesh..... Respondent

Date of Judgment/order: **20.08.2020**

JUDGMENT/ORDER

Brief fact of the case is that the above named appellant filed an RTI application under Form-A on 07.03.2020 before the PIO of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Papumpare District, Yupia, whereby, seeking various information regarding Pare & Ranga Nadi Hydrpo Electric Project, NEFCO Ltd Doimukh, Arunachal Pradesh. And the same, received in the Office of the PIO-cum-CO of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Yupia, was transferred to the District Land Revenue & Settlement Officer (DLRSO), Papumpare District, Yupia, vide letter No. PP/RTI/YPA-480 Dated- 16th of March'2020. The applicant, having not received any response from the PIO, filed a First Appeal before the Chief Secretary Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, on 08.05.2020. The Chief Secretary on receipt of the First Appeal from the appellant as aforesaid issued an order for directing the PIO to provide the required information to the applicant. After such issue of the order by the State Chief Secretary PIO-cum-DLRSO of Papumpare, Yupia, vide his letter No.LR-LM/RTI -07/001(Pt-II) Dated- 26th May'2020 furnished the information sought to the applicant. The applicant, being not satisfied with the information received as incomplete, filed a Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 13.06.20 and Registry of the Commission, on receipt of the same registered the appeal as APIC-98/2020 and processed it to the Commission for hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, this appeal has come up for the first time today for hearing. Appellant Shri Bengia Sagung is, though not present, represented by his learned counsel Mr. Tai Shiva (Advocate) before the Commission in person. Mr. Nakap Hina Nabam, PIO-cum-DLRSO of the DC's Office, Yupia, is present through video conferencing. Both parties being heard. During the course of