



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE COURT OF DR.JORAM BEGI, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

No:APIC-84/2020

Dated,Itanagar the 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2020

**Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005**

**Appellant**

**Shri Tabia Riang,  
RWD Colony Itanagar,  
Near Garden Dew School,  
Quarter-23, Type-II, PO/PS Itanagar, Vs  
Papum Pare District,  
Arunachal Pradesh,**

**Respondent**

**Shri C.Wangsu,  
PIO-cum-District Transport Officer,  
Yupia Road, Naharlagun,  
Papum Pare District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.**

**Dated of hearing held on: 3<sup>rd</sup> November' 2020.**

**ORDER**

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Tabia Riang, RWD Colony Itanagar, PO/PS Itanagar, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by Shri C. Wangsu, PIO-cum-District Transport Officer (DTO) ,Yupia Road, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District,Arunachal Pradesh, as sought, by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 11/12/2019.

Whereas, the 1<sup>st</sup> hearing was held on 8<sup>th</sup> September'2020 through online Video / Audio conferencing. Shri C. Wangsu, the PIO-cum-DTO, Capital Complex, Yupia Road, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh and the Appellant Shri Tabia Riang attended the hearing through online audio conferencing. Initially, the PIO informed the Commission that the information sought by the Appellant are voluminous, also, due to COVID-19 Pandemic and lockdown imposed by the Central & State Government, the information sought by the Appellant could not be furnished within the stipulated period. Later, the PIO said that the RTI Application of the Appellant is not available in his office. The Appellant informed the Commission that the RTI Application has been sent through registered post and he has the proof.

Whereas, The Commission made it clear that none of the PIO's first two arguments are tenable, as the registration record of vehicle for four years is not voluminous nor Covid-19 Pandemic can be an excuse, as the application for information was made as early as 11/12/2019. However, for non-receipt of the application by the PIO, the Commission asked the Appellant to send the RTI Application to the PIO's e-mail and whatsapp Mobile no. The Appellant agreed to do so. The Commission viewed that there are irregularities in the part of the PIO & the FAA in dealing with the RTI cases. So, the Commission decided to summon FAA in the next date of hearing along with the PIO. The Commission, giving reasonable opportunity directed the PIO to furnish all the information as sought by the Appellant in the Form-A within twenty days from the issue of this order. The Commission asked the Appellant to receive the information, go through and convey his satisfaction or dissatisfaction in the next date of hearing.