



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)  
ITANAGAR, RUNCHAL PRADESH**

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005  
vide No.APIC-74/2020

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Shri Gonu Taipodia & Shri Takam Hapu  
C/O T.Taje, Chimpu, Itanagar  
Papumpare District, A. Pradesh .....  
Appellant

- VERSUS -

Smty Pakgi Koyu (Lombi)  
PIO-cum-DDSE, Likabali,  
Lower Siang Dist. A.Pradesh .....  
Respondent

Date of judgment/order:

**24.09.2020**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

Brief fact of the case being that the above named appellants on 06.02.2020 filed a joint RTI application under Form-A before the above named PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding the works executed under CMSSY in various schools of Lower Siang District for the year, 2018-19 and 2019-20. This joint application of the appellants was received in the office of the PIO vide acknowledgment letter dated-12.02.2020 duly signed by the APIO of the PIO's office. Appellants, having not received any response from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the FAA-cum-Director of Elementary Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, on 16.03.2020. Accordingly, the FAA-cum-Director, receiving the First Appeal on 18.03.2020, issued a letter vide No.RTI-1/2005/EDN/42 dated-18.03.2020, whereby, requesting the PIO to furnish the information sought directly to the appellant. Even after such issue of the letter from the office of the FAA-cum-Director as aforesaid the appellants did not get any response from the PIO and, being aggrieved of the same, they filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission, Itanagar, and the Registry of the Commission, having receipt of the same, registered it as APIC-74/2020 and processed the same to the Commission for hearing and disposal. And, hence, the present case.

This second appeal, having been received for hearing and disposal, came up for hearing through personal or audio/video conferencing for 5(five) consecutive dates, i.e, on 22.05.2020, 04.06.2020, 02.07.2020, 27.08.2020 and 24.09.2020. During the first hearing on 22.05.2020 the appellants revealed before the Commission that the same information sought in their application under Form-A, being subject-matter of the present appeal, were sought in an application previously filed them before the PIO on 1<sup>st</sup> of November, 2019. However, according to them (appellants), all information, save and except the information sought in item No.1