



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH.

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
vide No. APIC-54/2020

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Shri Tadar Tai
Nyokum Lapang
PO/PS-ItanagarAppellant/complainant

- VERSUS -

Er. Libang Pertin
PIO of the Office of the CE(WZ)
RWD, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, ItanagarRespondent

Date of order: 20.08.2020

ORDER

This is a written complaint filed by the complainant, who happened to be the appellant in the above appeal vide No. APIC-54/2020, wherein, alleging that the PIO has furnished him incomplete information against item No.6 & 8 of his Form-A application. It is pertinent to mention herein that during hearing of the appeal on 28.05.2020 the appellant was present through video conferencing while the PIO appeared in person and, accordingly, both were being heard. And during the hearing, the PIO submitted all information sought before the Commission for onward furnishing to the appellant but since the appellant was present through video conferencing, he was given two days time for collecting of the information from the Commission and to report his satisfaction or dissatisfaction of the information received on or before the next date of hearing fixed on 25th of June' 2020 for the final disposal or closure of the appeal. Apart from those, a prima-facie case, having been found well established against the PIO for having violated sub-section (1) of Section-7 of the RTI Act for his failure to furnish the information sought, he was heard on the point of imposing penalty on him as provided under sub-section (2) of Section-20 of the RTI Act. After hearing the PIO a formal judgment/order was passed against him in separate, wherein, imposing him a penalty of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) only along with a compensation of Rs.2000/- (rupees two thousand) only to be paid to the appellant. Accordingly, the PIO made payments of both fine amount and the compensation in compliance of the said judgment/order and communicated the same to the Commission vide his letter No.RWD/RTI-164/2020/275-76 dated-23.06.2020. On the said next date fixed on 25.06.2020 the appellant expressed his dissatisfaction about incomplete receipt of the information sought and PIO again furnished him the all information available with him. The appellant while acknowledging such receipt of the information again sought for a week time for verification of the