



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION,
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-52/2020

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, U/S 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Tadar Tai
Byokum Lapang, Itanagar
PO/PS Itanagar, District P/Pare
Arunachal Pradesh.

V/S-

Er. W. Hondique
PIO-cum-EE, RWD Laying Yangte Division
Kurung Kumey District, Arunachal Pradesh.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is a second appeal filed under Form-E of the RTI Act by the appellant Shri Tadar Tai against the PIO-cum-EE RWD, Laying-Yangte Division, Kurung Kumey District, Arunachal Pradesh. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant filed an application to the PIO under Form-A of the RTI Act on 11.11.2019, whereby, seeking various information which are as quoted: **"Details of information of M/O Rural Link Road and WRSB under Laaying-Yangte Division during 2018-2019 Kurung Kumey District. Estimate Cost of Rupees 7,99,99,000/-, C/O Residential & Non Residential Building RWD L/Yangte Division Estimate Cost of Rupees 1,00,00,000/- (one crore) only"**. The appellant, having not received any response from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.01.2020. And the appellant, again having not received any response from the First Appellate Authority filed the present Second Appeal before this Commission and the Registry, having receipt of the same registered the appeal on 21.02.2020, being APIC-52/2020. And the Commission, having receipt of this appeal on endorsement for trial and disposal, issued notices to the parties for hearing of the appeal and, hence, the case.

Today is the second hearing of this appeal before the Commission. Appellant Shri Tadar Tai is present before the Commission through video conferencing. PIO-cum-EE, RWD, Laaying Yangte is present in person before the Commission.

Heard both parties. Now, during the course of hearing of the appeal the PIO has produced all information sought by the appellant before the Commission for onward furnishing to the appellant, and the appellant, who is also present through video conferencing before the Commission, is given two days time from today for collection of the information from the Commission and to report his satisfaction or