



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-50/2020

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.
(Appeal)**

Shri Ram Veo
Rayo Colony, PO- Nirjuli
Papumpare District, A.P.

- V/S-

Er. T . K Tagin
PIO-cum-SE, (Co-ordination) RWD Itanagar
Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is a second appeal under Form-E of the RTI Act filed by Shri Ram Veo against the PIO, wherein, alleging that PIO-cum-SE, (Co-ord) RWD Itanagar, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh has refused him to furnish information sought by him under RTI Act. The appeal, having been received in the Commission, has been registered on 19.02.2020, vide No.APIC-50/2020.

Brief fact of the case is that the appellant filed an application to the PIO under Form-A of the RTI Act on 09.12.2019, whereby, seeking information which are as quoted: "**Furnish the list of staffs serving in O/o CE West & East Zone, Entire RWD Circle Office, Entire RWD Divisions including SE, RWD Co-ordination as UDC, LDC, PEON, DRIVER on regular/Contract/Contingency/WC posts by mentioning their date of joining in RWD, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh**".

Today is the third hearing of this appeal in the Commission. The appellant Shri Ram Veo is present in person. PIO-cum-SE , (Co-ordination) RWD, Itanagar is also present before the Commission through video conferencing.

Heard both parties. During the course of hearing PIO informed the Commission that the information sought are in general for which it was not be possible for him to furnish the information so sought to the appellant. However, according to him (PIO) he had furnished those all documents whichever were available with him to the appellant and is still ready to furnish if the appellant seeks the information's in a specific manner. The PIO has also reflected in his replies to the Show Cause that the delay of furnishing information had occasioned only because of seeking such non-specific information. The appellant, on his part, requested the Commission to dispose off and close this appeal filed by him with a liberty to him to file fresh application for seeking specific information of which he has not been furnished by the PIO.

In view of above it is felt expedient to dispose off this appeal with a liberty to the appellant to file a fresh application to the PIO for seeking specific information of those which have not yet been furnished to him by the PIO. And, accordingly, this appeal is disposed off and closed with a liberty to the appellant for filing a fresh application for seeking specific information which has not been furnished to him by the PIO and consequent upon which the Show Cause Notice issued against the PIO also automatically stands infructuous.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 25th day of June' 2020. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 25th day of June' 2020.

Sd/-
(Goto Ete)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-50/2020/ 183
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the ...07... July' 2020

1. Shri Ram Veo, Rayo Colony, PO-Nirjuli, Papumpare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Public Information Officer (PIO), Er. T.K Tagin, SE (Co-ordination), RWD, Govt. of A.P Itanagar, for information and necessary action please.
3. Computer Operator, for upload on the Website of the APIC, please.
4. Office copy.

[Handwritten Signature]
Registrar/Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

[Handwritten Signature]
Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission