



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE COURT OF DR.JORAM BEGI, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER**

No.APIC-47/2020

Dated, Itanagar the 3rd November'2020

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

**Shri Batem Pertin,
S/o Shri Donggon Pertin,
Kiyit Village, Mebo,
P.O Mebo, & P.S. Pasighat, Vs
District East Siang,
Arunachal Pradesh.**

Respondent

**Mrs Margam Kaki,
PIO-cum-CO,
Office of the Deputy
Commissioner, Pasighat,
East Siang District,
Arunachal Pradesh.**

Date of hearing held on: 3rd November'2020.

ORDER

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri BatemPertin, S/o Shri Donggon Pertin, Kiyit Village, Mebo, P.O Mebo, & P.S. Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by PIO-cum-Extra Assistant Commissioner, Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought, by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 15.06.2019.

Whereas, the 1st rescheduled hearing was held online through Video / Audio conferencing on 19th May' 2020. Mrs Margam Kaki, PIO-cum-CO, Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh and Shri Lenzing Pertin, the Power of Attorney holder representing Appellant, Shri Batem Pertin appeared in the hearing online through video conference. The PIO informed the Commission that she is the new incumbent and functioning as PIO since January'2020. The PIO found that information pertaining to the office of District Planning Office and Trade and Commerce Department were collected and furnished to the Appellant by the previous incumbent PIO on 14th August'2019. The PIO pointed out that the information sought by the Appellant are not specific. However, she has collected information and tried to contact the Appellant but due to non-availability of contact no. and proper residential address of the Appellant the information could not be furnish to the Appellant. The Power of Attorney for the Appellant refuted that the contact no. was furnished in the cover of the postal letter and two proper correspondence addresses were furnished in the Form-A Para-1& 2. *The representative of the Appellant informed the Commission that he has received some information but he could not pinpoint out specifically the information that the PIO has not furnished as sought at Form-A serially. So, he appealed the Commission to allow him to sort out it with the PIO.* Both the parties exchanged their Mobile no. 8415810010 and 9953569858 respectively for proper communication.

Whereas, on scrutiny of available records by the Commission, it has been found that the BPL certificate with family ID No. 1320 was issued by the Block Development Officer, CD-Block, Mebo, East Siang District (AP) on 29/06/2012. The Xerox copy of the BPL certificate, without any attestation, clearly indicates that the BPL certificate was issued on 29/06/2012 based on the socio economic survey of 2002. The Commission is doubtful about the validity