



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)  
ITANAGAR, RUNCAL PRADESH**

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005  
vide No. APIC-32/2020

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Shri Bengia Ridek

-VERSUS -

PIO-cum-Circle Officer (Highway)  
Itanagar Capital Complex  
Arunachal Pradesh.

Date of judgment/order:

Dated-03.09.2020

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed U/S 19(1) of RTI Act, 2005. Fact of case in nutshell is that the appellant named above filed an RTI application under Form-A on 09.09.2019 before the Deputy Commissioner, ICC, Itanagar, whereby, seeking various information under sub-section (1) of Section-6 of the RTI Act, 2005, regarding compensation awarded to land & building owners to the stretch (NH-415) of Gohpur to Pappu Nallah (Package-A) and Pappu Nallah to Nirjuli (Package-B) and Nirjuli to Banderdewa (Package-C), etc. The APIO-cum-DACO of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner vide his letter No.DC/ICC/RTI-1/2019 dated-10.09.2019 forwarded the appellant's application filed under Form-A to the Circle Officer, Highway, Itanagar Capital Complex, Itanagar, for providing the information sought to the appellant. Having not received the information sought from the PIO, the appellant filed a First Appeal before the FAA-cum-Deputy Commissioner, ICC, Itanagar, on 12.12.2019. The ADC-cum-PIO, ICC, Itanagar, whereupon, issued a letter vide No. DC/ICC/RTI-1/2019 dated-27.12.2019, whereby, requesting the Circle Officer (Highway), ICC, Itanagar, to provide the information sought to the appellant as the information so sought were available in his office. The appellant, having not received any information even after such filing of the First Appeal as aforesaid, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 27.01.2020. The Registry of the Commission accordingly registered the Appeal, being APIC-32/2020, and processed the same before the Commission for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, this appeal came up for hearing before the Commission for two consecutive dates, i.e, on 20.08.2020 and 03.09.2020. During the first hearing on 20.08.2020 the PIO-cum-EAC succeeding the previous PIO present before the Commission through video conferencing voluntarily expressed his readiness to furnish the all information so sought to the appellant and requested the appellant to collect the information from his office within a week, though such First Appeal, was believed to have been filed after expiry of the