



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE COURT OF DR.JORAM BEGI, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER
No.APIC-115/2020 Dated, Itanagar the 27th October, 2020

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

**Shri Shoney Pertin,
President Siang Rights Forum(SRF),
Airfield Pasighat, Ward No-4,
Po/Ps-Pasighat
East Siang District.
(m) 09402788327, 8974216125,**

Respondent

**Shri Gonong Pertin,
PIO-cum-EE(WRD),
Pasighat Division,
East Siang District ,
Arunachal Pradesh.**

Vs

Dated of hearing: 27th October' 2020.

ORDER

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Shoney Pertin, President Siang Rights Forum(SRF), Airfield Pasighat, Ward No-4, Po/Ps-Pasighat, East Siang District, for non-furnishing of information, by Shri Gonong Pertin, PIO-cum-EE(WRD), Pasighat Division, East Siang District ,Arunachal Pradesh, as sought, by the Appellants under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 29/06/2020.

Whereas, the 1st hearing was held through online video / audio conference on 6th October'2020. Shri Gonong Pertin, PIO-cum-EE(WRD), Pasighat Division, East Siang District ,Arunachal Pradesh and the Appellant Shri Shoney Pertin appeared during the hearing through video conferencing. The PIO informed the Commission that information were kept ready and an intimation vide Memo No. PWRD/RTI/2019-20/59-63 Dated Psg, the 8th July'2020 was served to the Appellant on 13th July'2020 through registered post. But, the Appellant did not turn-up. Moreover, it is not clear, actually what information the Appellant is seeking for. The Appellant informed the Commission that information was not received because the fee charged by the PIO was excessive and the information furnished were not as per the information sought by him in the Form-A.

Whereas, the Commission after hearing the arguments, going through the intimation letter and information sought by the Appellant, the Commission made it clear that the PIO has to furnish only the official documents available with him. He cannot manufacture or furnish unofficial documents and fees for the information has to be charged as per the laid down rules. The Commission directed the PIO to furnish copy of Sanction order of Rs 35 crore and Tender copy of Rs. 30 crore against the information sought at Sl.no. 1, for Sl.no. 2, give in writing in detail, for Sl.no. 3, give in writing in detail and for Sl.no. 4, furnish the information as sought in form A. The Commission also directed the PIO to furnish all the information free of cost to the Appellant within ten days from the date of issue of this order, as the PIO has not acted upon as per the provisions of the RTI Act 2005 and rules framed by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh. The Commission asked the Appellant to receive the information go through and convey his satisfaction or dissatisfaction before the next date of hearing.

Whereas, the 2nd hearing was held through online video / audio conference on 27th October'2020. Shri Gonong Pertin, PIO-cum-EE(WRD), Pasighat Division, East Siang District ,Arunachal Pradesh attended the hearing through online audio conference. the Appellant Shri Shoney Pertin appeared during the hearing through video conferencing. The Appellant vide letter NO.NIL Dated 26/10/2020 informed the Commission that information has been furnished as sought by him in the Form-A and the Appellant is satisfied with information furnished by the PIO.

Therefore, the case is hereby disposed of.

Sd/-

(Dr.Joram Begi)

State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-115/2020 /336

Dated, Itanagar the 29 Oct,2020

Copy to:

1. Shri Gonong Pertin, PIO-cum-EE(WRD), Pasighat Division, East Siang District ,Arunachal Pradesh,
2. Shri Shoney Pertin, President Siang Rights Forum(SRF), Airfield Pasighat, Ward No-4, Po/Ps-Pasighat, East Siang District,
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website,
4. Case file.


Registrar / Dy. Registrar,
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar