



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, RUNCAL PRADESH**

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
vide No.APIC-93/2019

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER**

Shri Dado Kagung
New Palin, PO/PS- Palin
Kra-Dadi Dis. Arunachal Pradesh Appellant

-VERSUS -

Er. Langbia Tada
PIO-cum-EE, PWD,
Sangram Division, Kurung
Kumey Dist. Arunachal Pradesh Respondent

Date of judgment/order: **25.09.2019**

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section-19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Fact of the case in brief is that the above named appellant Shri Dado kagung on 01.03.2019 filed an RTI application under Form-A, seeking various information's against the name of the work called as – "***C/o road from Talangriang to Yangte under RIDF Second phase or State-II financed by NNABARD in the year, 2007-2008***". And the details of information sought are as follows:

- (i) Administrative approval and expenditure sanction
- (ii) Tender details or work order copy of agreement made between the working agency or firm and PWD.
- (iii) Technical sanction and DPR copies
- (iv) Contractor details or firm details.
- (v) Details of payment made to the working contractor or firm.
- (vi) Photograph of completed road.
- (vii) Utilization Certificate copy.
- (viii) Certificate of MB book and cheque counter foil payment to the contractor or firm
- (ix) Measurement and chaines copy.
- (x) Details of any advertisement or notice given by deptt. PWD from time to time for the mentioned work.

The appellant, having not been furnished the required document, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority-cum Superintendent Engineering, PWD, Yachuli Circle, on 06.05.2019. And the First Appellate Authority, whereupon, passed an order vide No.SEYCC/GE-41/RTI/2019/94-95 dated-14.05.2019, rejecting the appeal as time barred. The appellant, being not satisfied with the order of the First Appellate Authority, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission, Itanagar, on 18.06.2019. The Registry of the Commission, having receipt of the appeal, registered the same, being Appeal vide No. APIC-93/2019 and issued necessary processes for hearing and disposal of the appeal. And, accordingly, this appeal came up for hearing before the Commission on 3(three) consecutive dates, i.e, on 14.08.2019, 04.09.2019, and on 25.09.2019 and, hence, the case.

During earlier two hearings fixed on 14.08.2019 and 04.09.2019 the PIO was not present in person and, instead, deputed his Assistant Engineers, who could not satisfactorily present the case in his behalf, and for which the Commission directed for issue of notice for personal appearance of the PIO on the next date fixed on 25.09.2019 with fresh notice to the appellant also for his appearance on the said next date.