



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
NEAR APPSC OFFICE, ITANAGAR**

Case No. APIC-161/2019

Dated Itanagar, the 4th February' 2020

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF L. PHUNTSO, INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER
UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005
(Appeal)**

Shri Tagru Taka
Donyi-Colony, Near City Mission School
Itanagar, P.O. R.K Mission
Distt: Papum Pare, A.P.

-Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer (PIO)
Mr. Rajesh Nabam, Dy Director
(RE) Deptt. Of Panchayati Raj,
Distt: Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh

-Respondent

Secretary
Department of Panchayati Raj
Govt.Of Arunachal Pradesh

**-First Appellant
Authority**

JUDGMENT/ORDER

The appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Tagru Taka, Donyi-Colony, Near City Mission School Itanagar, P.O. R.K Mission Distt: Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by The Public Information Officer-cum- Dy. Director (RE), Panchayati Raj, Itanagar, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought, by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 16.09.2019.

Whereas, the 1st hearing was held on 02.12.2019 the appellant Shri Tagru Taka was present in the hearing while, the Respondent Public Information Officer Shri Nabam Rajesh Deputy Director (RE) Dept. of Panchayati Raj, was absent.

Heard the appellant. The appellant informed this Commission that the information furnished by the PIO is misleading and incomplete.

Therefore, it finds reasonable ground to be heard from both the parties in the next hearing which was fixed on 13.01.2020.

In the 2nd hearing held on 13.01.2020 the appellant was present but the PIO found remind absent during the hearing. The appellant stated that PIO has furnished almost all information as sought by him except SL. No. 4 in Form 'A'. while furnishing the other information the RR for the post of Dy. Director (RE) RGPSA was not furnish and the PIO has misled this Commission that RR of Dy. Director (RE) is not available in the office and shown as Nill vide letter No. nil Dated 14.10.2019.

However, later on during the hearing held on 13.01.2020 the appellant informed that the said RR has been received from the PIO. But the PIO has misled the Commission's Court which should have not been done by the PIO.

However, since all the information is being received by the appellant the Commission has taken the linen view and took a decision to disposed off the case also during the hearing held on 13.01.2020 the appellant has expressed his satisfaction of the information so furnished by PIO.

Therefore, the Commission is of the view that no further hearing of this Case No. APIC-161/2019 is necessary.

Hence, the instant case is disposed off herewith. This judgment order be forwarded to both the parties accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal.


Sd/- Leki Phuntso
Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar

Memo. No. APIC-161/2019/365

Dated Itanagar, the.....05.....Feb.2020

Copy to:

1. The Public Information Officer (PIO), Mr. Rajesh Nabam, Dy Director, (RE). Deptt. Of Panchayati Raj Arunachal Pradesh, for information please.
2. First Appellate Authority (FAA)-cum-Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, for information please.
3. Shri Tagru Taka, Donyi-Colony, Near City Misson School Itanagar, P.O. R.K Mission Distt: Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh, for information please.
4. The Computer Operator, for uploading on the website of APIC please.
5. Case file.


(Smt. M. Padung)
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission,
Itanagar