



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE COURT OF DR.JORAM BEGI, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-05/2019

Dated, Itanagar the 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2019

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act,2005

**Appellant**

Shri Tagru Taka,  
C/o Shri Khoda Hassang,  
Peon DTO Office,  
Near Yupia Road,  
Papum Pare Distt.  
Arunachal Pradesh.

VS

**Respondent**

Shri Jhummar Rime,  
PIO-cum-Director,  
Deptt. of Horticulture,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,  
Itanagar, Chimpu,

Hearing Date : 7<sup>th</sup> May,2019

**ORDER**

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Tagru Taka, C/o Shri Khoda Hassang, Peon DTO Office, Near Yupia Road, Papum Pare Distt. Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by Shri Jhummar Rime, PIO-cum-Director, Deptt. of Horticulture, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Chimpu, as sought by him under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 01.10.2018.

Whereas, the 1<sup>st</sup> hearing was held on 12.02.2019. Smt.Obur Messar, APIO-cum-Horti. Dev. Officer, Deptt. of Horticulture, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Chimpu, and the appellant Shri Tagru Taka were present during the hearing. The APIO informed that she has provided the informations to the appellant by post and also brought copies of the informations sought in the Form 'A'. The appellant refuted that he has not got any informations. On cursory look at the informations the Commission found that the informations were incomplete and clumsy.

Whereas, the Commission directed the APIO to furnish informations in a systematic way and if informations not available give the reason in written, with authentication to the appellant.

The Commission also advised the appellant to seek information after proper studying the nature of work and to seek for the particular relevant informations. Further, the Commission asked appellant not to insist for the information sought at Sl.no 5, with a brief explanation, as it is irrelevant, which the appellant agreed.

The appellant was asked to inform his satisfaction or dissatisfaction in the next hearing.

Whereas, the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing was held on 12.03.2019. Neither the PIO nor APIO was present during the hearing but Shri Chigam Phassang, UDC, Directorate of Horticulture, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Chimpur, represented them and submitted remaining informations to the Commission. The appellant had turn-up late. The Commission handed over the informations to the appellant and asked to go through it and inform his satisfaction / dissatisfaction in the next hearing.

Whereas, the 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing was held on 23.04.2019. Smti. Obur Messar, APIO-cum-Horti. Dev. Officer, Deptt. of Horticulture, Gohpur roadi, Chimpur, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh was present during the hearing. The appellant Shri Tagru Taka was found absent.

Whereas, the Commission viewed that the letter/order issued to the appellant at the present correspondence address is returned undelivered regularly. Therefore, the Commission directs the appellant to give proper address for the correspondence.

Whereas, the APIO informed that all the informations as sought by the appellant has been furnished, but due to absence of the appellant his satisfaction could not be ascertained, therefore, the Commission gives one more chance to the appellant for hearing. If the appellant fails to appear in the next hearing the case will be disposed of.

**Whereas, the 4<sup>th</sup> hearing was held on 07.05.2019.** Smti. Obur Messar, APIO-cum-Horti. Dev. Officer, Deptt. of Horticulture, Gohpur roadi, Chimpur, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh and the appellant Shri Tagru Taka were found absent. However, the representative of the APIO, Shri Chigam Phassang, UDC, Deptt. of Horticulture, Gohpur roadi, Chimpur, Itanagar was present during the hearing.

Whereas, the representative of the APIO, informed that all the informations have been furnished to the appellant as sought by him.

Whereas, the appellant remained absent for two consecutive hearing without any intimation, it is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the informations furnished to him by the PIO.

**Therefore, the case is hereby disposed of.**

Sd/-

(Dr. Joram Begi)

State Chief Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar

No. APIC-05/2019 / 668

Dated, Itanagar the 8 May, 2019

Copy to:

1. Shri Jhummar Rime, PIO-cum-Director, Deptt. of Horticulture, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Gohpur roadi, Chimpur,
2. Smti. Obur Messar, APIO-cum-Horti. Dev. Officer, Deptt. of Horticulture, Gohpur roadi, Chimpur, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh,
3. Shri Tagru Taka, C/o Shri Khoda Hassang, Peon DTO Office, Near Yupia Road, Papum Pare Distt. Arunachal Pradesh,
4. Case file.

(M. PADUNG)

Dy. Registrar,

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar