



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, RUNCHAL PRADESH**

Appeal U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
vide No.APIC-04/2019

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GOTO ETE, THE STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER**

Shri Lampop Laa & 3(three) Others
C/o G. Bagra, Near Abotani Office
Mowb-II, PO/PS- Itanagar
Dist. Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh Appellant

-VERSUS -

Er. Pelen Bini
PIO-cum-EE, PWD,
Highway Division, Yupia
Papum Pare Dist. Arunachal Pradesh Respondent

Date of judgment/order: **25.09.2019**

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section-19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the above named appellants Shri Lampop Laa & 3(three) others Jointly filed an RTI Application under Form-A on 06.09.2018, whereby, seeking various information's against the name of the work called as – "***M/S Rannia Enterprises and M/S Godak Bida Enterprises Firm in regards of Trans Arunachal Highway Maintenance & Repair of Pamluk to Sigin Bridge under Kamle District***". And the details of information sought are as follows:

- (i) EPF with upto date challan & labour licence.
- (ii) Work experience with completion certificate and work as a prime contractor.
- (iii) Affidavit not engaged more than two tender works in any department.
- (iv) Affidavit regarding no relative working in any Government department & not employment retirement in last two years.
- (v) T & P and its affidavit
- (vi) Balance sheet of the Firm.
- (vii) Quality of the work done.
- (viii) Copy of financial bid in hard copy and soft copy (online).
- (ix) Copy of sanction order.

The PIO, having receipt of the RTI application of the appellant, issued an acknowledgement letter on 08.10.2018, whereby, acknowledging the receipt thereof and, thereafter, furnished his RTI replies to the appellant vide his letter No.DHO/TAH/RTI/2018-19/731 dated-05.11.2018, in refusal or in denial of the request for information on the ground, among others, that those information, having been fallen U/S 11 of the RTI Act, 2005, have not been consented by the third party for disclosure thereof. The appellant, being aggrieved by such refusal of the request for information by the PIO, filed a First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Superintendent Engineering, Western Zone, PWD Mowb-II (Highway), Itanagar, on 26.11.2018 but he did not get any response from the First Appellate Authority (FAA). And, being aggrieved by having not got any response from the FAA, the appellant filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission, Itanagar, on 04.01.2018. The Registry of the Commission, having receipt of the appeal, registered the same, being Appeal vide No. APIC-04/2019 and issued necessary processes for hearing and disposal of the appeal. And, accordingly, this appeal came up for hearing before the Commission on 8(eight) consecutive dates, i.e, on 14.02.2019, 20.03.2019, 15.05.2019, 12.06.2019, 24.07.2019, 21.08.2019, 11.09.2019 & 25.09.2019 and, hence, the present case.