



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COURT OF Ms. SONAM YUDRON, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No. APIC-296/2022

Dated, Itanagar the ....September, 2022

Under Section 20 (1) RTI Act, 2005

**Appellant**

Shri Rajesh Paron & Isaac Ejing  
JNC, PO-Hill-Top, Pasighat,  
East Siang District, A.P.

-V/S-

**Respondent**

Er. Anthony Boko,  
EE-Cum-PIO, HPD,  
GEku Division, U/Siang  
Arunachal Pradesh.

**ORDER**

Whereas, the 5<sup>th</sup> hearing of **APIC-296/2021** was held on 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2022. The Appellant Shri Rajesh Paron and Er. Anthony Boko, PIO-Cum-EE, HPD, Geku Division were present during the hearing.

Whereas, The Commission asked the appellant whether he has received the informations from the PIO or not. On this, the appellant informed to the Commission that he has not received information till date.

Whereas, on this, the PIO informed to the Commission that he has brought the informations as sought by the appellant and handed over to the appellant before the Commission. The Commission asked the appellant to receive the information from the PIO and after going through it intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission.

Whereas, accordingly, the Appellant has gone through the information and intimated his dissatisfaction as the informations those furnished by the PIO is not related to said appeal.

Whereas, the Commission found that the PIO is misleading the Court by furnishing the wrong informations to the appellant. So, the Commission viewed seriously on the reluctance shown by the PIO in furnishing the correct informations to the Appellant inspite of repeated directions given by the Commission to the PIO in every hearing for taking follow up action to furnish the informations to the appellant, but the PIO failed to furnish the complete, correct and authentic informations as sought by the appellant till the 5<sup>th</sup> hearing which establishes prima-facie evidence of violation of Sub Section-1 of Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005.

Now, therefore, the Commission invoked **Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005** and imposed penalty of **Rs. 25,000/- (rupees twenty-five thousand) only against the PIO for violation of Sub Section-1 of Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005** and also not complying with the orders of the Commissions /Court against the **Appeal No. 296/2021**. Further the PIO is directed to

**compensate Rs 5000/- (rupees five thousand) only** to the Appellant for the monetary losses incurred on to and fro journey expenses due to the delay in furnishing of informations and caused harassment to the Appellant

And also the PIO is directed for personal appearance before the Commission on the next date of hearing **i.e. 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2022 at 1000 hrs, failing which Section 20 (2) shall be invoked against the PIO.** Further, the PIO is also directed to **furnish copy of the treasury challan deposit of the penalty to the Commission office .**

No formal notice be served to the appellant as the next date of hearing is intimated to the appellant.

Next date of hearing fixed on 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2022 at 1000 hrs.

Sd/-  
(**SonamYudron**)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-296/2022 /208  
Copy to:-

Dated Itanagar, the 22 Sept., 2022.

1. Er. Anthony Boko, PIO-Cum-EE, HPD Geku Division, Upper Siang District Arunachal Pradesh, for information and necessary action please.
2. FAA-Cum-the Superintendant Engineer, Hydro Power Deptt., Jengging circle, Upper Siang district,, Arunachal Pradesh, for information and necessary action please.
3. Shri Rajesh Paron & Mr. Isaac Ejing, JNC, PO- Hill top, Pasighat, East Siang District, for information please.
4. The Computer Programmer, for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.

  
Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar  
APIC