## ARUNACHAL PRADESH STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

TANAC Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)

## APIC-No-191/2021(Appeal)

## Under section 19 (3) of RTI Act. 2005

Shri Taying Mipi, Cheta-2 near Middle School Roing, Lower Dibang Valley, (A P). **Appellant** 

V/s

PIO-cum-DFO, O/o the Divisional Forest Officer, Anini, Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh. Respondent

Date of hearing:-17.12.2021.

Date of RTI Application/Complaint	17 <sup>th</sup> March, 2021.
Date of PIO's response	11th March,2021
Date of First Appeal	12th September, 2021.
Date of FAA's response	No response
Date of receipt of Appeal/Complaint	30 <sup>th</sup> July, 2021.

## **ORDER**

The Appellant vide his RTI application dated  $17^{th}$  march, 2021 sought information on 3 points as contained therein.

The PIO did not provide any reply to the Appellant in response to the present RTI application. The Appellant then filed a First Appeal. The First Appellate Authority also did take up the appeal.

The Appellant, therefore, filed a second appeal before the commission with a request to provide complete information.

Hearing of the second appeal was taken up on 12th November, 2021.

The Appellant was present. The PIO remained absent. During the hearing the Appellant had stated that PIO did not furnish the information. But according to the PIO's letter dated 11.09.2021 information had already been furnished to the appellant. The PIO was not present to contest the submission of the appellant.

As there was no proof before the commission that the appellant had received the information, the PIO was directed to furnish complete information within 15 days from the date of order.

Today is the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing of this appeal. The PIO is absent. The appellant is, though absent, represented by Mr. Tali Umbey. Mr. Tali Umbey has informed the commission that appellant has now received the information furnished by the PIO. According, to Mr.Tali Umbey the appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO.

After hearing Mr. Tali Umbey and perusing of case records, the commission is of the view that the PIO has failed to furnish correct and complete information to the appellant. The commission further notes that the PIO has not complied with the commission's order dated 24.09.2021 and 12.11.2021 wherein he was directed to present during the hearing.

The commission, therefore, directs the PIO to furnish correct and complete information to the appellant or to file an Affidavit stating that information sought in point No. 1(relating to notification/guidelines of the scheme/work etc) and point No. 3 (relating to details of society and its numbers etc) of the RTI application is not available as per record maintained by the Anini Social Forestry Division.

The PIO shall comply with the above direction of the commission within 3 weeks time from today, failing which action under section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, shall be initiated by the commission. The appellant is directed to furnish copy of this order to the PIO.

Hearing is adjourned to 25.02.2022 at 1030 hrs.

The PIO is directed to be present in person during the hearing on the above mentioned date and time.

Sd/-(Genom Tekseng) Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-191/2021/ 823

Dated Itanagar the 23rd December, 2021.

Copy to.

- 1. PIO-cum-DFO, O/o the Divisional Forest Officer, Anini, Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh.
- 2. Shri Taying Mipi, Cheta-2 near Middle School Roing, Lower Dibang Valley, (A P).
- 3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC website.

4. Case file.

Deputy Registran Arunachal Pradesh Information Commissi Registrar/Dy. Registrar, APIC, Itanagar