



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI RINCHEN DORJEE, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER  
No.APIC-149/2022 Dated, Itanagar the 14<sup>th</sup> June'2022

**Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005**

**Appellant**

**Shir Tao Taga,  
S/o Lt. Tao Boke,  
Village Chod,  
Po/Ps Yazali,  
L/Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.**

**Vs**

**Respondent**

**The PIO-cum-EE (PWD),  
Govt. of A.P.,  
Yazali Division,  
Lower Subansiri District,  
Arunachal Pradesh.**

**Dated of hearing: 14<sup>th</sup> June'2022.**

**ORDER**

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Tao Taga, S/o Lt. Tao Boke, Village Chod, Po/Ps Yazali, L/Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh for non-furnishing of information by The PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yazali Division, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 11/11/2021 regarding C/o Road from PWD road to Lich-Hochi Village Kebi Panchayat (Phase-I), BE 2017-18 to RE 2018-19.

**Whereas, the 1<sup>st</sup> hearing was held on 1<sup>st</sup> March'2022at 1030hrs as scheduled.** The PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yazali Division, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh was found absent during the hearing. The Appellant Shri Tao Tag was present. However, the PIO was represented by Shri Bengia Chito, UDC, Yazali Division, Lower Subansiri District. The Appellant informed the Commission that the PIO has not furnished any information. The representative of the PIO informed that due to shifting of the office store room all the office records have been displaced so information could not be furnished in time. However, information for the 'Sl.no -n' as sought by the Appellant in the Form—A, dated 11/11/2021 has been brought in the court to furnish it to the Appellant. The representative of the PIO appealed the Commission to give time to trace out the information and furnish it the Appellant.

Whereas, the Commission giving reasonable opportunity to the PIO, directed the PIO to furnish all the information before the next date of hearing. The Commission also asked the Appellant to receive the information, go through and convey his satisfaction or dissatisfaction in the next date of hearing.

Whereas, the 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing was held on 26<sup>th</sup> April'2022 at 1030hrs as scheduled. The PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yachuli Division Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh was found absent during the hearing. However, Shri T.L. Teil, APIO-cum-AE (PWD) represented the PIO. The Appellant Shri Tao Taga was present. The Appellant informed the Commission that the PIO has not furnished complete information as sought by him in the Form-A. The APIO informed that some information sought by the Appellant are not available in his division, the information sought are available in the other division and higher authority.

Whereas, the Commission directed the PIO to furnish all the information available in his division/ office free of cost to the Appellant. The PIO is also directed to give proper reasons for the information which are not available in his office and to write to the concern PIOs to furnish the information available with them directly to the Appellant with a copy of the letter to the Appellant. The Commission asked the Appellant to Approach the concern PIOs or apply a fresh Form-A application to them for the information. The Commission also asked the Appellant to receive the available information with the PIO and convey his satisfaction or dissatisfaction in the next date of hearing.

Whereas, the 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing was held on 14<sup>th</sup> June'2022 at 1030hrs as scheduled. The PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yachuli Division Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh was found absent during the hearing. However, Shri T.L. Teil, APIO-cum-AE (PWD) represented the PIO. The Appellant Shri Tao Taga was present in the court hearing. APIO-cum- AE (PWD) informed the Commission that whatever information available in their office has brought in the courtroom to handover the same to the appellant.

The same is handed over to the appellant. The appellant informed that the information supplied earlier were incomplete and not authentic.

The Commission, after hearing from both the parties directed the PIO to provide information as much as possible as requested for. Viz (1) Cheque No. of cheque issued to the Contractors/Firms with date and amount paid. (2) Site verification report of the project, if any. (3) Geo-Tag report, if any. In case, the information sought for are not available, specific reasons must be furnished for the satisfaction of the information seeker.

**The next date of hearing is fixed on 2<sup>nd</sup> August'2022 at 1030 hrs.**

Therefore, the PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yazali Division, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh is hereby summoned to appear before this Court in person on the scheduled date and time without fail.

Sd/-

(Rinchen Dorjee)


State Chief Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-149/2022 / 298

Dated, Itanagar the 14 June'2022 Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-EE (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Yazali Division, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh,
2. Shir Tao Taga, S/o Lt. Tao Boke, Village Chod, PO/PS Yazali, L/Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh,
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.

  
Registrar / Dy. Registrar,  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar