



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE COURT OF DR.JORAM BEGI, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-170/2020

Dated,Itanagar the 19th January' 2021

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant

**Shri Dana Takuk,
S/o Shri TakarTakuk,
Village Ngopok, Mebo,
P.O. Mebo& P.S. Pasighat,
District East Siang,
Arunachal Pradesh.**

Vs

Respondent

**Shri Kipa Gagung,
PIO-cum-Joint CEO PSCDCL,
Pasighat, District East Siang,
Arunachal Pradesh.**

Dated of hearing held on : 19th January' 2021.

ORDER

Whereas, an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 has been received from Shri Dana Takuk,S/o Shri TakarTakuk, Village Ngopok, Mebo, P.O. Mebo& P.S. Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by Shri Kipa Gagung, PIO-cum-Joint CEO PSCDCL, Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought, by the Appellants under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on 02/07/2020, regarding Pasighat Smart city.

Whereas, the 1st hearing was held on 19th January'2021. Shri Kipa Gagung, PIO-cum-Joint CEO PSCDCL, Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, was present during the hearing. The Appellant, Shri Dana Takuk was found absent. However, the Appellant was represented by Adv. Yagam Tayeng. The PIO along with proof of postal receipt and copies of information informed the Commission that information have been furnished to the Appellant through post. The PIO also doubted that the Appellant being a BPL, how he is able to hire an advocate for the hearing. The Advocate informed that her senior is friend of the Appellant, so her senior asked her to represent the Appellant. She did not produce any **Vakalatnama / Authorization** letter from the Appellant. The Advocate represented the Appellant without any preparation; the Advocate was unable to answer even single queries of the Commission. There is also a very reason to doubt as to how Shri Dana Takuk, a BPL card holder, can afford to hire an advocate to plead the case. When adequate provisions under the Act exist for pursuing the case by himself. However, she informed that no information has been received by the Appellant.

Whereas, the Commission directed the PIO to furnish authenticated copies of information to the Appellant's advocate during the hearing. The Commission taking note of the doubt of the PIO asked the Advocate to inform the Appellant that in the next date of hearing, the Appellant should attend the hearing in person, failing which the case shall be disposed of.

The next date of hearing is fixed on 9th February' 2021 at 10:30 hrs.

Therefore, **Shri Kipa Gagung, PIO-cum-Joint CEO PSCDCL, Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh**, is hereby summoned to appear before this Court **in person** on the scheduled date and time without fail.

Sd/-

(Dr.Joram Begi)

State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-170/2020 /47

Dated, Itanagar the 2) January,2021

Copy to:

1. **Shri Kipa Gagung, PIO-cum-Joint CEO PSCDCL, Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh,**
2. **Shri Dana Takuk, S/o Shri Takar Takuk, Village Ngopok, Mebo, P.O. Mebo & P.S. Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh, to attend the hearing in person,**
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website,
4. Case file.

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar